

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT			1. CONTRACT ID CODE	PAGE 1 OF 11 PAGES
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 0002	3. EFFECTIVE DATE May 24, 1999	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. N/A	5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable) N/A	
6. ISSUED BY Defense Supply Center, Columbus DSCC-DR P.O. Box 3990 Columbus, Ohio 43216-5000	CODE	7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)	CODE	
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)			(X)	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. SPO700-99-R-7003
			X	9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) April 30, 1999
				10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.
				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)
CODE	FACILITY CODE			

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☐ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended, ☒ is not extended.

Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:

(a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment. and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. Accounting and Appropriation Data (If required)

**13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS.
IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.**

(X)	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc). SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not, ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

Please incorporate the attached changes to the above referenced Request for Proposal.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNED	16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BY	16C. DATE SIGNED
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Officer)	

NSN 7540-01-152-9070

PREVIOUS EDITION UNUSABLE

PerFORM (DLA)

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 10-83)
Prescribed by GSA
FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

1. SECTION B, SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICE/COSTS

CORRECTED PAGE NUMBERING IS REFLECTED ON REPLACEMENT PAGE 1 OF 186, INCLUDED IN THIS AMENDMENT, BLOCK 11, TABLE OF CONTENTS. FROM THIS POINT FORWARD ALL PAGE REFERENCES WILL REFLECT NEW PAGE NUMBERS.

Page 2

Change CLIN 0008 to read:

0008 TRANSITION PERIOD—Paragraph C 1.6
(No more than 180 days after Contract Award Date)

2. SECTION C, PERFORMANCE WORK STATEMENT

Page 12, 1.7.1, Personnel Clearance

Line 12, DoD reference, replace DoD 5220.22-4 with DoD 5220.22-R.

Page 17, 1.8, Environmental

Replace “holding tanks” in paragraph 4, line 1, to “containment tanks”.

Page 23, Definitions

Change definition for Transshipment to read:

Material received at a depot for delivery to a final storage site or customer.

Page 31, 3.2.4.1.1, Distribution Standard System (DSS)

Add “Eastern Standard Time” in line 3 after (2300-0100).

Page 32

Insert the following sub-paragraph:

3.2.4.1.9 Management Information System (MIS)

MIS receives transactional data records from DSS and other depot operating systems and converts these records into usable workload and performance data. It also uses tables with MIS to establish type receipt/issue and to provide Work Center counts to DBMS. It gathers counts in support of discrete pricing and unit cost. Special features are:

- On-line access to yesterday’s workload and performance data
- Status reviews
- Edits with capability to correct MIS errors
- Audit capability (DDC and DSDC authorized)
- Manual count input
- Historical data for two years available on-line

The PA shall be responsible for complying with the DSS-MIS Procedures Guidance, which includes:

- Monitoring MIS data transmissions
- Reviewing error count
- Correcting MIS errors
- Viewing Work Center information
- Viewing Automated Work Counts (AWC)

Page 36, 3.3.7, Environmental

Third paragraph, third sentence, should read: The cost of equipment, labor, and supplies will be reimbursed by the PA.

Page 37, 3.3.9 Training

Add the following:

The Government will provide the materials necessary for the PA to train their employees in the following areas:

- Counterintelligence (CI) IAW DoDI 5240.6, Counterintelligence (CI) Awareness and Briefing Program.
- Operations Security (OPSEC), IAW DoDD 5205.2, DoD Operations Security Program.
- The Government will include PA employees in the Antiterrorism Training, IAW DoDD 2000.12, DoD Combating Terrorism Program. The Office of the Commander, DDWG, will make available to the PA the dates and times the Antiterrorism Training will be held.

Page 43, 5.2.1, Requirements, Product Receipt Evaluation bullet

Delete this bullet and replace with the following: Last two sentences are additions to this bullet.

- **Product Receipt Evaluation** includes, but is not limited to, verification of kind, count and condition as required and acceptance of materiel received from vendors, other storage installations, and returned from customers; inspection of materiel to confirm identification, classification and conformance to specified requirements (quantities, condition code, etc); inspection of incoming containers for evidence of tampering and damage to materiel; product receipt research; receipt inspection IAW DSS-generated exclusion data; and, preparation of inspection and receipt reports (to include SDRs and PQDRs). Materiel shall be inspected and processed in accordance with DLAM 4140.2, Volume 1, Chapter 3 Checks on locations and resolution of suspended assets and checks on PQDRs still in a hold status to include processing status on these items in the G021 system and preparing AF 981s/923s as required.

Page 48, 5.3.1 Requirements

Add as last bullet:

- Planographs
The PA shall maintain planographs using AutoCAD LT 98 or AutoCAD Release 14 (or later versions) software. The Government will provide the initial software and the PA shall be responsible for the upgrades of the software. Planographs are required for each individual warehouse section (open or covered). Planographs will be drawn to be proportionally accurate and will be of sufficient size to be legible. Planographs will be prepared and maintained in accordance with the DDC-T Policy letter, subject: Storage Space Management Reporting (SSMR) Instructions for Warehouse Planographs. Planographs will be updated annually or when actual warehouse layouts change. Copies of the Planographs will be made available for review upon request from the Office of the Director, DDWG.

Page 49, 5.3.2 Physical Inventory Control, Last bullet, Location Surveys

Add SBSS after DSS at the end of line one, to read DSS/SBSS

Page 50

This page is intentionally blank.

Page 52, 5.3.3 Standards

Change 45 days to 10 days in 2nd chart, last row, (Investigation of Financial Liability), 2nd block.

Page 56, 5.4.1 Requirements, Traffic Management bullet

Add:

- • Perform manual allocation of materiel for priority walk-throughs

Page 57, 5.4.1 Requirements, Issue Process Documentation bullet

Replace to read:

Issue Process Documentation includes all processing of documentation incident to the issue of materiel and maintaining customer log.

Page 60, 5.4.3 Documentation Requirements, second chart, Documentation Requirements for Shipping, last row, block 3

Remove Note 5 from second chart (Documentation Requirements for Shipping) last row, block 3.

Page 69, 5.5.5 Depack Support, 2nd paragraph, line 4

Replace "special handling instructions" to read "special packaging instructions" (only one word changes) in 2nd paragraph, line 4.

Page 72

This page is intentionally blank

Page 89

Insert following as page 89:

TECHNICAL EXHIBITS

- Technical Exhibit 1.1 - Workload
- Technical Exhibit 1.2 - Workload Projections
- Technical Exhibit 1.3 - DDWG MIS Data
- Technical Exhibit 2.1 - Government Furnished Facilities
- Technical Exhibit 2.2 - Government Furnished Property
 - 2.2A – Accountable Property Listing
 - 2.2B – MHE/MMHS Vehicles and Equipment Listing
 - 2.2C - Other Equipment Listing
 - 2.2D - Office Equipment Listing
 - 2.2E - Packaging and Packing Materials Listing
 - 2.2F - Distribution Tags and Labels Listing
- Technical Exhibit 3 – Documentation and Action Matrix for Section C-5

- Technical Exhibit 4 – Information Systems Interface Requirements
- Technical Exhibit 5 - Air Force Customer Daily Pickup/Delivery Points Listing

Page 92, Technical Exhibit 1.1, Workload

Replace the INVENTORY ACCURACY RATE RESULTS FOR FY 1998 (3RD QTR) Chart with the following:

2nd Qtr FY 99 Statistical Random Performance Sample Inventory DDWG Variable Line Item Accuracy: 93.28 % at 1.72% Bound							
Strata	Population	Sample	Err	0% Variance	1% Variance	5% Variance	10% Variance
Unit Price > \$1,000	69,832	341	22	93.55	N/A	N/A	N/A
Unit of Issue ≠ Each Or On Hand Bal > 50 And Ext \$ Val < \$50K Or Activity > 50	28,082	265	53	80.00	N/A	N/A	92.08
On Hand Bal < 50 And Date of Last Inv > 24 Mo	57,496	172	18	89.53	N/A	92.44	N/A
Other	74,852	100	3	97.00	N/A	N/A	N/A
Overall	230,262	878		92.02 95% conf 1.86% Bound			

3. SECTION L, INSTRUCTIONS, CONDITIONS, AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS OR RESPONDENTS

Page 167, L11 – Offerors Responding to This RFP

Delete in its entirety and replace with :

“Offerors shall submit both written proposals and hard copies of oral presentation materials in the content and format specified by this Section”.

Page 168, L12 – Sequence of Events During Proposal Evaluation

Paragraph (b), first line, delete “Subsequent to receipt of proposals, “

Paragraph (f), first sentence, after “..refine their proposals,” delete “excluding the oral presentation portion,”

Page 169, L13 – Formal for Written Proposals (a)

Under VOL II – Past Performance, change to read:

Part I (Contract Identification) and Part II (Customer's Organization)
Part III – Part XII

Orig + 5 copies
Orig only

Page 170, L14 – Oral Presentation Information: Volume I Part I

Paragraph (i) (3), delete in its entirety.

Page 175, L17 – Written Proposal Content: Volume I Part 2

Delete L17 in its entirety and replace with following. The replacement provision reflects changes to add "for Key Personnel" after Personnel Position Descriptions in line 1, deleting paragraph (b) Resumes, and to reflect paragraph renumbering as a result of the paragraph deletion.

(X) L17 – WRITTEN PROPOSAL CONTENT: VOLUME I PART 2

(a) Personnel Position Descriptions for Key Personnel: The written proposal shall contain position descriptions for each proposed labor category that will define the minimum requirements. The position descriptions shall include:

- Position
- Organizational Controls
- Minimum education requirements
- Experience substitutions for education
- Minimum general experience in years
- Minimum specific experience in years
- Specific skills, knowledge and abilities

Information that cannot reasonably be specifically identified at the time of submission shall be annotated "to be provided subsequent to contract award". Offerors are placed on notice that every effort should be made to provide as complete planning documents as possible since evaluation of the documents will be included in the technical evaluation process.

(b) Plans: The offeror shall provide the plans indicated below:

- (1) Quality Assurance/Customer Satisfaction Plan as described in **Section C-1** of the PWS.
- (2) Surge, Sustainment and Mobilization Plan as described in **Section C-1** of the PWS.
- (3) Transition Plan as described in **Section C-1** of the PWS.

4. SECTION M, EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

Page 181, M03 – Evaluation Factors for Award

Delete M03 in its entirety and replace with the following. Changes are found in (c) (1) and (2) and (d) line 1. Take note that the subparagraphs following paragraph (f) reflects renumbering.

(X) M03 - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

(a) The following conditions shall be met in order to be eligible for award:

(1) The offeror must be determined responsible according to the standards in FAR subpart 9.1.

(2) The offeror's proposal must comply with the requirements of law, regulation, and all conditions set forth in the solicitation.

(3) The offeror's proposal must demonstrate a clear understanding of the nature and scope of work required. Failure to provide a realistic, reasonable and complete proposal may reflect lack of understanding of the work requirements of the contract and may result in a determination that the offeror's proposal is unacceptable. The Government does not assume a duty to search for clarification data to cure problems or inconsistencies with an offeror's proposal.

(b) This acquisition is being conducted as a cost comparison study under OMB Circular No. A-76. There will be two phases leading to the determination to either award a contract or retain the requirement for in-house (federal civilian workforce) performance.

(1) The first phase will be a best value contractor source selection conducted in accordance with FAR 15.1, Source Selection. A firm fixed-price contract will be awarded as a result of this source selection if determined most economical as addressed above. The objective of this best value process is to select the offeror whose proposal provides the greatest overall benefit in response to the requirement. Consequently, the Government will perform a source selection in accordance with the procedures found in FAR 15.1, Source Selection. The Government will select the offeror whose proposal represents the best value in response to the requirement. The offeror selected in phase one of the source selection will be the offeror who is (1) deemed responsible in accordance with FAR Part 9; and (2) whose proposal is determined by a comparative assessment of the evaluation criteria (factors) and general considerations listed below to be the best value to the Government. The Government reserves the right to select other than the lowest proposed overall cost/price since the Government is more interested in obtaining superior performance than lowest price. However, the Government will not pay a price premium that it considers to be disproportionate to the benefits associated with the proposed margin of service superiority. The offeror whose proposal represents the best trade-off between performance and cost (price) will be the offeror selected in phase one of the source selection. The process will provide an impartial, equitable, and comprehensive evaluation of each offeror's proposal, and related capabilities. Subjective judgment on the part of Government evaluators is implicit throughout this source selection. The best value is represented by the most advantageous offer conforming to the solicitation and demonstrating the best value to the Government in terms of price, past performance, technical proposal, and special programs participation.

(c) The evaluation factors, in descending order of importance, are as follow:

- (1) Cost/Price (All evaluation factors other than cost or price, when combined, are approximately equal to cost or price.)
- (2) Past Performance (total risk assessment)
- (3) Technical
- (4) Socioeconomic Programs

(d) Evaluation of Cost/Price. The offered price will be used in conjunction with the other factors to determine the proposal that represents the best value to the Government. Price will not be numerically scored, but it will be evaluated to determine completeness, realism, and reasonableness as defined below:

- (1) Completeness – will be evaluated by assessing whether the proposal contains costs to perform all tasks required by Request for Proposal.
- (2) Realism – will be evaluated by assessing the compatibility of proposal costs with proposal scope and effort.
- (3) Reasonableness – will be evaluated through cost or price analysis techniques as described FAR Subpart 15.4.

(e) Evaluation of Past Performance. The Government will evaluate past performance using a two-tier approach. In the first tier, the Government will evaluate the relevance of the past performance, and the risk associated with the offeror's past performance taking into account safety, reliability, financial strength, responsiveness to customers, conformance to specifications and standards, and containment of costs. In the second tier the Government will assess the total overall risk associated with the offeror's ability to successfully perform the proposed effort considering the offeror's past performance. The assessment of the offeror's past performance will be used as a means of evaluating the relative capability of the offeror and the other competitors. The lack of performance history is not grounds for disqualification for award under this solicitation.

(1) In investigating an offeror's past performance, the Government will consider information in the offeror's proposal and information obtained from other sources, including past and present customers and their employees; other Government agencies, including state and local agencies; consumer protection organizations and better business bureaus; former subcontractors; and others who may have useful information. Failure by the offeror to provide evidence of performance on contracts of a similar nature in terms of period of performance, complexities of the services provided, actual performance under Subcontracting Plans, socioeconomic proposal utilization, or Mentoring Business Agreements will result in the Government evaluating past performance and will not eliminate offeror from the overall review and evaluation of proposal for this RFP.

(2) Evaluation of past performance will be a subjective assessment based on a consideration of all relevant facts and circumstances. It will not be based on absolute standards of acceptable performance. The Government is seeking to determine whether the offeror has consistently demonstrated: experience interfacing with multiple customers; ability to operate proprietary data systems; ability to meet customers requests for specific delivery time frames; ability to meet or exceed performance standards; ability to perform warehousing and distribution operations; ability to respond to unforeseen customer problems/events. This is a matter of judgment. Offerors will be given an opportunity to address unfavorable reports of past performance, and the offeror's response or lack thereof, will be taken into consideration.

(3) By past performance, the Government means the offeror's record of conforming to specifications and to standards of good workmanship; ability to properly respond to changing priorities and customers requirements; ability to provide customers with prompt efficient service and ability to provide a safe industrial environment. The offeror's performance on Subcontracting Plans, socioeconomic proposals, support to Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act Entity and mentoring Business Agreements that have been incorporated into contracts will also be considered.

(f) Evaluation of the Technical Proposal. The evaluation of each subfactor will consider completeness and clarity, degree of compliance with the solicitation and the risk that the approach will be unsuccessful as proposed. Evaluation of the Technical Proposal will be a subjective assessment based on a consideration of all relevant facts and circumstances. It will not be based on absolute standards of what is considered acceptable. The Government is seeking to determine whether the offeror demonstrates a thorough understanding of the scope and complexity of the work and the need to protect government property, employees, and the public. This is a matter of judgment. Offerors retained in the competitive range will be given an opportunity to address deficiencies in their proposal. The offeror's response, or lack thereof, will be taken into consideration in the final evaluation. An unsatisfactory rating for any subfactor listed for the Technical Proposal will render the entire Technical Proposal unsatisfactory.

The following subfactors will be addressed during the evaluation of the technical proposal:

- (1) Transition Plan
- (2) Surge, Sustainment and Mobilization Plan
- (3) Quality Assurance / Customer Satisfaction Plan
- (4) Warehousing and Distribution Operations
 - (i) Warehousing and Distribution Operations/Processes
 - (ii) Environmental Compliance
 - (iii) Management Plan
 - A. Organization
 - B. Key Personnel Capability Assurance Strategy
- (5) Performance of Special Functions
- (g) Socioeconomic Participation

(1) Subcontracting Plan (including business opportunities for small, small disadvantaged, and women owned businesses). The socioeconomic portion of the Subcontracting Plan provided by the offeror under DLAD 52.215-9002 will be evaluated on a comparative basis among all offerors. An offeror that proposes a higher percentage, complexity level, and variety of participation by small, small disadvantaged and women-owned small businesses combined generally will receive a higher rating on this factor. An offeror's efforts to develop additional opportunities for small, small disadvantaged and women-owned small businesses will also be comparatively evaluated with the proposals of other offerors. Offerors' proposal for socioeconomic support will be made a part of any resulting contract for use in determining if the contractor has adhered to any subcontracting, or socioeconomic plan. These plans will be monitored by the cognizant Defense Contract Management Command's small business offices as a means of assisting the Contracting Officer in determining how well the contractor has, in fact, performed. This determination will then be used as a consideration in future source selection decisions.

(2) The Government will evaluate the offeror's proposal for participation in the DLA MBA Program on a comparative basis among all offerors, rather than via establishment of an "acceptable" standard. The factor is an independent element in the overall award decision; the offeror who proposes or demonstrates the most comprehensive plan for tutoring a protégé will receive the

highest rating for this evaluation factor during the source selection process. The evaluation will assess the offeror's willingness to assist such entities in receiving better market shares, improving their processes, and generally contributing to their viability under long-term contracting arrangements. Those offerors who demonstrate their commitment to the MBA program by submitting a plan is advised that any agreement(s) will be compared with the proposal contained in the contract with DLA to ensure that it adequately reflects the mentor's obligations expressed within the contract. Identification of established parameters for involvement under the program and assistance already undertaken must be addressed.

(3) The JWOD Entity Proposal provided by the offeror under 52.215-9004 will be evaluated on a comparative basis among all offerors in accordance with DLAD 52.215-9005, Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act Entity Support Evaluation (DEC 1997). An offeror that proposes or demonstrates a higher percentage, complexity level, and variety of participation by JWOD-qualified nonprofit agencies for the blind or other severely disabled as subcontractors beyond those items for which JWOD entities are the mandatory source generally will receive a higher rating on this factor during the source selection process.

(4) The Small Disadvantaged Business (SDB) Participation program proposal provided by the offeror under FAR 52.219-24 will be evaluated on a comparative basis among all offerors in accordance with FAR 52.219-25, Small Disadvantaged Business Participation Program-Disadvantaged Status and Reporting (JAN 1999). An offeror who proposes targets, expressed as dollars and percentages of total contract value and total SDB participation by the contractor, and a total target SDB participation by subcontractors will receive a higher rating on this factor during the source selection process. The evaluation of the targets and percentages will assess the offeror's intention of assisting the SDB participation in joint ventures, teaming arrangements, and subcontracts. This proposal will be incorporated into and become part of any resultant contract and shall be monitored during contract performance.

Offerors' proposals for such support will be made a part of any resulting contract for use in determining how well the contractor has adhered to its plan. This plan will be monitored by the cognizant Defense Contract Management Command activity as a means of assisting the Contracting Officer in determining how well the Contractor has in fact performed. This determination will be one factor used in the placement of orders against multiple-award contracts and/or the exercise of options in the contract's follow-on years (as applicable). Performance on prior contracts in subcontracting with and assisting JWOD entities will be used as an element of past performance evaluation in subsequent source selection decisions.

Page 185, M04 – Evaluation for Down-Select

Replace M04 in its entirety with the following:

(X) M04 - EVALUATION FOR DOWN-SELECT

After receipt of written proposals, the contracting officer will perform a down-select based on the proposals received (Technical Proposal) and past performance. The evaluated price for base and option will be per line price multiplied by the forecasted amount. Only those offerors remaining after the down-select will be invited to present oral presentations.

**AMENDMENT 0003
SPO700-99-R-7003
Page 11 of 11**

REPLACEMENT PAGE 1 OF 186

SOLICITATION OFFER AND AWARD X J <input type="checkbox"/> K		1. THIS CONTRACT IS A RATED ORDER UNDER DPAS (15 CFR 350)		RATING		PAGE OF 1 186	
2. CONTRACT NO SP0700-		3. SOLICITATION NO SP0700-99-R-7003		4. TYPE OF SOLICITATION NEGOTIATED (RFP)		5. DATE ISSUED APRIL 30, 1999	
				6. REQUISITION/PURCHASE NO. SEE SECTION B			
7. ISSUED BY DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER COLUMBUS ATTN: DSGC-DR 3990 EAST BROAD STREET P.O. BOX 3990, COLUMBUS, OH 43216-5000 For Post Award contact - see G01				8. ADDRESS OFFER TO (IF OTHER THAN ITEM 7) DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER COLUMBUS ATTN: BID OPENING ROOM 130, BLDG 20, 3990 EAST BROAD STREET P.O. BOX 16653 COLUMBUS, OH 43216-5009 FOR COURIER SERVICE - SEE BLOCK 9			
9. Sealed offers for furnishing the services in the Schedule will be received at the place specified in Item 8 until 1:00 p.m. local time June 24, 1999. For Courier Services, offers should be addressed to Defense Supply Center Columbus, ATTN: Bid Opening Room 130, Bldg. 20, 3990 East Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43213. NOTE: PAST PERFORMANCE IS DUE JUNE 1, 1999, NTL 2:00 p.m. CAUTION: LATE Submissions, Modifications, and Withdrawals See Section L, Provision No. 52.215-1(c). All offers are subject to all terms and conditions contained in this solicitation.							
10. FOR INFORMATION CALL: (614) 692-2258		A. NAME Martie Dunnett		B. TELEPHONE NO. (Include area code) (614) 692-2258 (NO COLLECT CALLS)			
11. TABLE OF CONTENTS							
(X)	SEC	DESCRIPTION	PAGE(S)	(X)	SEC	DESCRIPTION	PAGE(S)
PART I - THE SCHEDULE				PART II - CONTRACT CLAUSES			
X	A	SOLICITATION/CONTRACT FORM	1	X	I	CONTRACT CLAUSES	144-152
X	B	SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICES/COSTS	2-4	PART III - LIST OF DOCUMENTS, EXHIBITS AND OTHER ATTACH			
X	C	DESCRIPTION/SPECS/PERFORMANCE WORK STATEMENT	5-139	X	J	LIST OF ATTACHMENTS	153
X	D	PACKAGING AND MARKING	140	PART IV - REPRESENTATIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS			
X	E	INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE		X	K	REPRESENTATIONS, CERTIFICATIONS AND OTHER STATEMENTS OF OFFERORS	154-164
X	F	DELIVERIES OR PERFORMANCE	141	X	L	INSTRS CONDS AND NOTICES TO OFFERORS	165-180
X	G	CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION DATA	142	X	M	EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD	181-186
X	H	SPECIAL CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS	143				
OFFER (Must be fully completed by offeror)							
13. DISCOUNT FOR PROMPT PAYMENT (See Section I, Clause No. 52.232-8)		10 CALENDAR DAYS %		20 CALENDAR DAYS %		30 CALENDAR DAYS %	
14. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF AMENDMENTS (The offeror acknowledges receipt of amendments to the SOLICITATION for offerors and related documents numbered and dated)		AMENDMENT NO. _____		DATE _____		AMENDMENT NO. _____	
15A. NAME AND ADDRESS OF OFFEROR		CAGE CODE _____		16. NAME AND TITLE OF PERSON AUTHORIZED TO SIGN OFFER			
15B. TELEPHONE NO (Include area code)		15C. CHECK IF REMITTANCE ADDRESS <input type="checkbox"/> IS DIFFERENT FROM ABOVE ENTER SUCH ADDRESS IN CLAUSE B01		17. SIGNATURE		18. OFFER DATE	
AWARD (To be completed by Government) FOR POST AWARD INFORMATION SEE CLAUSE G01							
19. ACCEPTED AS TO ITEMS NUMBERED		20. AMOUNT		21. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA			
22. AUTHORITY FOR USING OTHER THAN FULL AND OPEN COMPETITION		23. SUBMIT INVOICES TO ADDRESS SHOWN IN SECTION G		ITEM BLOCK 7 ABOVE			
24. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 7) CODE _____		25. PAYMENT WILL BE MADE BY CODE _____					
CAS _____ + _____		CD CODE _____ PAS Serial No _____					
26. NAME OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print) DEBORAH R. RAITA Contracting Officer		27. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (Signature of Contracting Officer)		28. AWARD DATE			

IMPORTANT - Award will be made on this Form, or on Standard Form 29, or by other authorized official written notice SECTION A